

## REMARKS

In the Office Action of July 10, 2008, the Examiner rejected claims 8-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, but stating that the claims would be allowed if amended to overcome the section 112 rejection. The Examiner stated that the "in particular" phrases in claim 8 are indefinite as it is not clear what is being claimed.

By the present amendment, applicants have amended claim 8 to remove the "in particular" phrases identified by the Examiner as causing the indefiniteness. With this removal, applicants submit that claims 8-16 now comply with section 112, and that these claims are in allowable form. Applicant has also corrected a typographical error in claim 14.

Applicants request that the Examiner indicate all claims as allowed and pass the application to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

/Kevin W. Guynn/ (Reg. No. 29,927)  
Kevin W. Guynn  
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD  
**Customer Account No. 24978**  
300 S. Wacker Drive , Suite 2500  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6771  
Telephone (312) 987-2187  
Attorneys for Applicant